

Multilevel Mission Coordination for Coalition Operations

Edmund H. Durfee • University of Michigan http://ai.eecs.umich.edu/people/durfee/COABS



Results:

- Analyzes the alternative plan spaces of coalition functional teams that plan independently and act asynchronously
- Works top-down with plans chosen by teams to predict unintended interactions.
- Identifies candidate resolutions (merging steps that duplicate effort, inserting timing or action constraints to deconflict plans).
- Notifies operator of possible plan conflicts and synergies, and computed resolutions.
- Estimates quality of alternative resolutions, including action costs, parallelization, and disruption to prior commitments
- Operationalizes/enforces coordination decisions selected.
- Given more time, isolates and resolves interactions more precisely and efficiently.
- Allows postponing coordination decisions until runtime conditions are better known.
- Packaged as a Grid-aware component that supports coalition commanders.

Description:

- Joint mission with objectives/responsibilities distributed among multiple functional teams comprised of human and computer agents
- Operational choices by a functional team can unintentionally (infrequently) interact with what others can or should do
- Negative interactions: conflict over coalition assets, friendly fire
- Positive interactions: piggybacking tasks
- Multilevel Coordination Agent is a grid-aware service to ensure that these interactions are efficiently predicted and effectively resolved Resulting joint plan balances:
- Efficient (fast, parallel) execution
- Flexibility for local run-time improvisation
- Avoidance of unnecessary or costly actions
- Realistic runtime messaging load
- Minimal disruption to prior commitments





Prototype capabilities:

- Finds serialization constraints to avoid conflicts (e.g., collisions over helipads),
- Discovers opportunities for having one plan accomplish (part of) mission for another.
- Estimates costs, overall time needs, and expected impact on prior commitments for informed command decisions.
- Given more time, explores at more detailed levels to find more parallelism.

Future directions:

- More complicated kinds of plan merging to maximize utilization of assets.
- Modeling and coordinating interactions among agent teams.
- Caching and reuse of coordination decisions.
- Distribution of coordinator functionality leading to efficient parallel implementations.
 DAEPA

CoABS CoAX 2002 Demonstration