# Risk Aggregation Methodology for Joint Fires Coordination

Adel Guitouni, DRDC, Canada Snezana Mitrovic-Minic, MDA, Canada

KSCO, Vancouver, September 21-23, 2010

### Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Where aggregation is required?
- Our methodology
- Applications





- Research on risk aggregation is scarce
- Risk aggregation methodologies for joint operations
  - mission planning and
  - plan execution





### Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Where aggregation is required?
- Our methodology
- Applications





**Risk** is the expression of the likelihood and impact of an event with the potential to influence the achievement of an organization's objectives [Treasury Board Canada Secretariat 2001]





#### Thick Snow Cover and Construction Work Requiring Explosives



		Risk	Evaluation M	latrix		
				Probability		
Severity		Frequent A	Probable B	Occasional C	Rare D	Improbabl e <b>E</b>
Catastrophic	-	EH	EH	Н	H	١M
Critical	Ш	EH	н	Н	М	L
Marginal	Ш	Н	М	М	L	L
Negligible	IV	М		L	L	L





#### Thick Snow Cover and Construction Work Requiring Explosives



		Risk	Evaluation M	latrix		
				Probability		
Severity		Frequent A	Probable B	Occasional C	Rare D	Improbabl e E
Catastrophic		EH	E	H	Н	M
Critical	Ш	EH	н	Н	М	L
Marginal	Ш	Н	М	М	L	L
Negligible	IV	М	L	L	L	L





### What is **Risk Management?**

- Risk management is a systematic approach to setting the best course of action under uncertainty by identifying, assessing, understanding, acting on, and communicating risk issues [Treasury Board Canada Secretariat 2001]
- Risk management is crucial for effective joint decision making to enhance operational capabilities and mission accomplishment, with minimal acceptable loss





### Principles:

- Accept No Unnecessary Risk
- Make Risk Decisions at the Appropriate Level
- Anticipate and Manage Risk by Planning





Principles:

- Accept No Unnecessary Risk
- Make Risk Decisions at the Appropriate Level
- Anticipate and Manage Risk by Planning

Needed:

- Proper communication of risk information





Principles:

- Accept No Unnecessary Risk
- Make Risk Decisions at the Appropriate Level
- Anticipate and Manage Risk by Planning

Needed:

- Proper communication of risk information







### Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Where aggregation is required?
- Our methodology
- Applications





### In Joint Operations, Risk Aggregation is Needed Due to:

- Risk-related information is collected from diverse sources
- Different risk categories

Aggregation of risk through command structure because:

Risk information are collected at lower level of command and decisions are made at higher level of command





### Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Where aggregation is required?
- Our methodology
- Applications





- (S1) Generate a tree-like graph representing a Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS) dividing the mission in tasks, subtasks, and actions;
- (S2) Determine the importance weights for the edges of the MDS (representing importance of a success of a child node to a success of the parent node);
- (S3) For each action/task, identify associated risks; and
- (S4) For each risk, identify its core factors and generate corresponding Bayesian network, influence diagram, Expanded Bayesian Network (EBN) or Expended Influence Diagram (EID) combining Dempster-Shaffer methodology and influence diagram

### Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS)







#### **Expanded Influence Diagram**



Disaster and post-disaster effects: Combination of influence diagram and Dempster-Shafer for risk assessment

### Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS) and Risk to Personnel Lives







### Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Problems requiring aggregation
- Potential solutions
- Application





### **The Intervention Plan for Fire Scenario**



#### **Joint Fires Coordination in Urban Environment**



### Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS)







Relevant to joint operations:

- Personnel (health and life)
- Population (health and life)
- Mission success
- Resources and equipment
- Important buildings (schools, hospitals)





#### Risk assessment: Risk of collateral damage using Bayesian network







#### Decision making and Risk assessment: Risk of collateral damage vs. choice of delivery assets using Influence diagram



Aggregation of risk related information: Decision making and Risk assessment Risk of collateral damage vs. choice of delivery assets using Expanded Influence Diagram



#### Bottom-Up Aggregation of Risks along the Mission Decomposition Structure

Aggregation strategy depends on risk type:

- Max rule
- By importance
- Weighted sum

In each leaf node:

- (Expanded) Influence Diagram or
- (Expanded) Bayesian Network<u>Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS) and Risk to Personnel Lives</u>





### Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS) and Risk of Mission Failure



Risk levels and (qualitative, quantitative) conversion rules





### Aggregation/Disaggregation of Risk

Aggregation of different risk types is usually not recommended

But if aggregation of different risk types is done, it has to be transparent

Assignment	
T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 Automat	ic Manual Clear
D3 / Solution	1 [a, 4]: 3Y, 6Y
D4 j Solution	2[a, 5]: 30, 40
DS/ X Solution	3 [m, 4]: 30, 50
Diff Solution	4 [m, 5]: 2 K, 3 K
Save D	elete Accept
Agg M P C R B	





### **Decision-making support**





DÉFENSE



### **Decision-making support**



#### Mission Decomposition Structure (MDS) and Risk to Personnel Lives













### **Real-time risk assessment and aggregation**

Do regularly (iteratively or triggered by events):

(D1) For each risk, assess its level, using EID;

- (D2) For each risk type, aggregate risks over tasks, going upwards along the MDS, and
- (D3) If needed, at each level of command and MDS, aggregate different risk types.





### Conclusion (1/2)

- Proposed Aggregation/disaggregation methodology includes:
  - Aggregation of risk related information from different sources: Expanded Bayesian network (by using Dempster-Shafer theory of evidence)
  - Aggregation of decision making and risk related information from different sources: Expanded Influence Diagram (by using Dempster-Shafer theory of evidence)
  - Risk aggregation through command structure: Mission Decomposition Structure and different risk aggregation strategies for different risk types
  - Each leaf of the Mission Decomposition Structure has corresponding influence diagrams





Presented methodologies support:

- Risk aggregation and disaggregation
- Comprehensive view for easier decision making
- Real-time monitoring of causes, risks, and controls
- Proper communication and visualization of risk related data
- Risk management at different levels of command structure
- Collaboration in joint multi-agency operations





## Questions?



